

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

2016 CRG Impact Factor and Usage report

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

1. How the Hepato-Biliary Group contributes to Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR)

Each year in June, Clarivate Analytics publish the Impact Factors of all journals indexed in the Journal Citation Report.

The 2016 Impact Factor for *CDSR* is **6.264**, which describes the ratio of the number of reviews published during 2014 and 2015 (1,839) to the number of citations these reviews received in 2015 (11,520).

The 2016 CRG Impact Factor for the Hepato-Biliary Group is 2.500 (32 publications cited 80 times).

A review published by the Hepato-Biliary Group in 2014 or 2015 was cited, on average, 2.5 times in 2016.

When considering the citation data presented below, please be aware of the following:

- The data used to generate Impact Factors for individual Cochrane Review Groups (CRG) was extracted from Clarivate Analytics Web of Science. This is slightly different from the data used to calculate the Impact Factor of the *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* (*CDSR*). All journal Impact Factors (including the Impact Factor of the *CDSR*) are published in the Journal Citation Reports (JCR). The data used to calculate journal Impact Factors are not made publically available. Individual CRG Impact Factor data, therefore, should not be quoted as 'official', but can be used within the organisation.
- Cites for individual Cochrane Reviews and individual CRG Impact Factors are allocated by a process of hand-matching. Each year a proportion of cites cannot be matched to citable items because the cited work is not cited correctly. For example, a common error when citing Cochrane Reviews is to omit the version number or suffix from the DOI. The accuracy of the source data provided by Clarivate Analytics also has an impact on the success rate of the citation matching. The table below shows the percentage of cites that were successfully hand-matched for the past five Impact Factor reports. This report has an 86% success rate which means the majority of Groups will receive a lower CRG Impact Factor than last year.

Impact Factor Year	Cites received*	Cites successfully matched	% of successfully matched cites
2016	11,520	9,885	86%
2015	11,522	9,397	82%
2014	11,932	11,720	98%
2013	9,859	8,515	86%
2012	8,087	6,411	79%
2011	7,721	6,685	87%

*Source – Journal Citation Reports

- All New and Updated reviews that have a new citation record are included in the *CDSR* Impact Factor calculation.
- Each individual review group faces a variety of challenges in the publication of Cochrane Reviews, and some of these may impact upon the data presented below.

The ten most cited reviews from the Hepato-Biliary Group contributing to the 2016 Impact Factor were:

CD Number	Title	Times Cited
CD010479.pub2	Laparoscopic surgical box model training for surgical trainees with no prior laparoscopic experience	
CD010542.pub2	Transient elastography for diagnosis of stages of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis in people with alcoholic liver disease	9
CD007049.pub2	Wound infiltration with local anaesthetic agents for laparoscopic cholecystectomy	
CD011549	Endoscopic ultrasound versus magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography for common bile duct stones	7
CD001939.pub3	Branched-chain amino acids for people with hepatic encephalopathy	7
CD010478.pub2	Laparoscopic surgical box model training for surgical trainees with limited prior laparoscopic experience	5
CD001939.pub2	Branched-chain amino acids for people with hepatic encephalopathy	3
CD011548	Ultrasound versus liver function tests for diagnosis of common bile duct stones	3
CD005642.pub3	Peginterferon alpha-2a versus peginterferon alpha-2b for chronic hepatitis C	3
CD010683.pub2	Methods to decrease blood loss during liver resection: a network meta- analysis	3

The full list of Cochrane Reviews contributing to the 2016 Impact Factor for the Hepato-Biliary Group is provided in the accompanying Excel file.

The ten most cited reviews published in the CDSR (all CRGs) contributing to the 2016 Impact Factor were:

CD Number	Title	Review Group	Times Cited
CD001431.pub4	Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisionsConsumers and Communication Group		215
CD000011.pub4	Interventions for enhancing medication adherence	Consumers and Communication Group	107
CD010216.pub2	Electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation	Tobacco Addiction Group	103
CD003641.pub4	Surgery for weight loss in adults	Metabolic and Endocrine Disorders Group	95
CD002207.pub4	Buprenorphine maintenance versus placebo or methadone maintenance for opioidDrugs and Alcohol Groupdependence		82
CD009593.pub3	Xpert [®] MTB/RIF assay for pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance in adults		65
CD003793.pub3	Pulmonary rehabilitation for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Airways Group	64
CD008965.pub4	Vitamin D supplementation for prevention of mortality in adults	min D supplementation for prevention of tality in adultsMetabolic and Endocrine Disorders Group	
CD002990.pub3	Neuraminidase inhibitors for preventing and treating influenza in adults and children	Acute Respiratory Infections Group	54
CD007470.pub3	Self-management for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Airways Group	54

2. How the Hepato-Biliary Group Impact Factor compares to that of other Cochrane Review Groups (CRGs):

Figure 1, details the 2016 CRG Impact Factor for each CRG. Figure 2 shows the number of publications and citations contributing to the 2016 Impact Factor for each CRG as a percentage of the *CDSR*. It is important to remember that these figures have been calculated using hand-matched data from Web of Science and are not 'official' Impact Factors.

CDSR 2015 Impact Factor and Usage report

35.000 30.000 25.000 20.000 2016 CDSR Impact Factor = 6.264 15.000 10.000 5.000 0.000 Group Group Group Group Group Group Multiple Sclerosis and Rare Diseases of. Anaesthesia, Critical and Emergency Care. Gynaecological, Neuro-oncology and. . Group Group Back and Neck Group Developmental, Psychosocial and. Work Group Neonatal Group Group Group **Drugs and Alcohol Group** nfectious Diseases Group Stroke Group Dementia and Cognitive Improvement. Airways Group **Musculoskeletal Group** Effective Practice and Organisation of. **Public Health Group Breast Cancer Group Common Mental Disorders Group** Heart Group Acute Respiratory Infections Group ENT Group Bone, Joint and Muscle Trauma Group Neuromuscular Group IBD Group HIV/AIDS Group Incontinence Group **Pregnancy and Childbirth Group** Kidney and Transplant Group Upper GI and Pancreatic Diseases Group Hypertension Group **Eyes and Vision Group** Skin Group Lung Cancer Group Fertility Regulation Group Pain, Palliative and Supportive Care Group STI Group **Oral Health Group** Injuries Group Wounds Group Epilepsy Group Haematological Malignancies Group **Childhood Cancer Group Movement Disorders Group Cystic Fibrosis and Genetic Disorders Group Consumers and Communication Fobacco Addiction Methodology Review Metabolic and Endocrine Disorders Colorectal Cancer** Urology **Gynaecology and Fertility** Vascular Hepato-Biliary Schizophrenia

Figure 1: 'Impact Factor' for each CRG (i.e. number of cites in 2016 to reviews published in 2014–2015, divided by the number of reviews published in 2014–2015)

CDSR 2015 Impact Factor and Usage report

Figure 2: % Publications (blue) and % Citations (purple) of CDSR for each CRG (in order of percentage of publications



3. How the Hepato-Biliary Group Impact Factor compares with that of journals publishing in the same category:

We have compared the CRG data with journals in the relevant Journal Citation Reports subject categories. The journal with the top Impact Factor in the category is not always directly comparable – either because of the scope of the journal, or the number of reviews published. Please contact Tony Aburrow (<u>taburrow@wiley.com</u>), if you would like to compare your group's Impact Factor to journals other than those included in the table below.

CRG	Category (Median	IF of journal ranked 10 th in	Highest ranked
	IF)	the category	journal by IF
Hepato-Biliary	Gastroenterology &	GASTROINTESTINAL	Gastroenterology
Group	Hepatology	ENDOSCOPY	
2.500	2.799	6.501	18.392

4. How the citation data compare to Wiley Online Library usage data:

When considering the usage data presented below, please be aware of the following:

- A proportion of full text downloads cannot be associated with an individual Cochrane Review so the usage data included in this report is an underestimate of overall usage activity.
- Only usage activity related to Cochrane Systematic Reviews hosted on the Wiley Online Library platform is included in this report. The report does not include usage activity related to Cochrane Systematic Reviews hosted on third-party platforms.

The ten most accessed Cochrane Systematic Reviews from the Hepato-Biliary Group in 2016 were:

CD Number	Review Title	Full text downloads	
CD005440.pub3	Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for people with acute cholecystitis	2,401	
CD007176.pub2	Antioxidant supplements for prevention of mortality in healthy participants and patients with various diseases	1,619	
CD003327.pub4	Surgical versus endoscopic treatment of bile duct stones	1,306	
CD008344.pub2	Nutritional support for liver disease	1,106	
CD006575.pub3	Virtual reality training for surgical trainees in laparoscopic surgery	1,084	

CD008256.pub3	Booster dose vaccination for preventing hepatitis B	1,018
CD008623.pub2	Statins for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non- alcoholic steatohepatitis	1,010
CD010542.pub2	Transient elastography for diagnosis of stages of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis in people with alcoholic liver disease	973
CD001939.pub3	Branched-chain amino acids for people with hepatic encephalopathy	970
CD011549 Endoscopic ultrasound versus magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography for common bile duct stones		952

The 2016 access data for all Hepato-Biliary Group Reviews is provided in the accompanying Excel file.

5. How the usage of Hepato-Biliary Group reviews compares to usage of reviews published by other Cochrane Review Groups:

Figure 3 shows the average number of full text downloads per review as accessed via Wiley Online Library during 2016 (regardless of publication date). Figure 4 shows the number of publications and full text downloads for each CRG as a percentage of the *CDSR*.





Figure 4: % Publications (blue) and % Full Text Downloads (purple) of CDSR for each CRG (in order of percentage of publications)



6. Alternative Metrics

Using the Altmetric system (http://www.altmetric.com/), we are able to report on further measures of the impact of Cochrane Reviews beyond cites and usage. Altmetric have created a cluster of servers that watch social media sites, newspapers, government policy documents and other sources for mentions of scholarly articles.

The Altmetric Attention Score is a quantitative measure of the attention that a scholarly article has received. It is derived from three main Factors:

Volume - The score for an article rises as more people mention it.

Sources - Each category of mention contributes a different base amount to the final score (further information including a breakdown of sources can be found here).

Authors - How often the author of each mention talks about scholarly articles influences the contribution of the mention.

The unique Altmetric Attention Score is available on the abstract page of every Cochrane Review that has achieved a score of one or above.

Altmetric has tracked mentions of 8,572 articles from the CDSR up to August 2017.

The highest Altmetric Attention Scores from Cochrane Reviews published by the Hepato-Biliary Group in 2016 (scores retrieved 30th August 2017) were:

Score	Review Title	В	т	F	N
2	Ultrasonography for diagnosis of alcoholic cirrhosis in people with alcoholic liver disease.	0	5	2	0
2	Yttrium 90 microsphere radioembolisation for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma	0	3	0	0

B=Bloggers T=Tweeters F=Facebook walls N=News outlets

Altmetric track 'mentions' from 17 different sources including references in policy documents, citations in Wikipedia pages and discussions on Peer Review sites. Only sources that contributed substantially to the scores of the Cochrane Reviews in the table above have been included.

'Workplace interventions for reducing sitting at work' has the third-highest Altmetric Attention Score of all Cochrane Reviews. The article is in the top 5% of all research outputs tracked by Altmetric.

Additional resources:

- A Frequently Asked Questions document (FAQ) is available from the Cochrane Library website. You can access this document <u>here</u>.
- For further details of Cochrane Reviews in the press, please contact Jo Anthony, Senior Media and Communications Officer, Cochrane (janthony@cochrane.org).
- If you have any queries regarding the data presented in this report, please contact Tony Aburrow, Associate Editor at Wiley (<u>taburrow@wiley.com</u>).